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#### Abstract

A series of permanently charged benzo[b]quinolizinium cations having lower lipophilicity than MK-801 or phencyclidine (PCP) were synthesized. Data relating agonist independent block of $N$-methyl-D-aspartic acid (NMDA) ion channels to $\log D$ are described. Closed channel access is predicted to result in a more noncompetitive profile of antagonism compared to selective open channel blockers, which are uncompetitive inhibitors. Reduced closed channel block may underlie the absence of PCP or MK-801-like behavioral side effects observed for benzo $[b]$ quinolizinium cations.


## Introduction

Glutamate is the predominant excitatory neurotransmitter in the central nervous system. The associated postsynaptic excitatory amino acid receptors have been differentiated into several classes by pharmacological and molecular biological studies. The ionotropic groups have been named for their selective agonists: $N$-methyl-D-aspartic acid (NMDA), 2-amino-3-(3-hydroxy-5-meth-ylisoxazol-4-yl)propanoic acid (AMPA), and kainic acid. ${ }^{1}$ $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ influx into neurons via activation of NMDA receptors has been implicated in acute neurodegeneration, especially ischemic stroke and head trauma, as well as chronic neurodegenerative conditions such as Huntington's disease, Parkinson's disease, AIDS dementia, and Alzheimer's disease. ${ }^{2}$

A site within the NMDA ion channel is specifically identified by $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{TCP}$ ([1-(2-thienyl)cyclohexyl]piperidine) binding. The flow of $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ through the NMDA receptor channel can be inhibited by the binding of TCPsite ligands such as phencyclidine ( PCP ), ketamine, dextrorphan, MK-801, and CNS 1102. ${ }^{3}$ These molecules are neuroprotective in models of focal ischemia, ${ }^{4}$ but the clinical advancement of this class of compounds has been hindered by side effects, including cognitive disturbance, ${ }^{5}$ neuronal vacuolization, ${ }^{6}$ and hemodynamic abnormalities. ${ }^{7}$ In a previous paper, we reported on a high-affinity TCP-site ligand (WIN 63480, 1) (Figure 1) that was shown to be an NMDA antagonist in vitro and anti-ischemic in vivo. ${ }^{8}$ MK-801 and PCP are thought to access the NMDA ion channel by agonist dependent (open channel) and agonist independent (closed channel) routes. ${ }^{9}$ In contrast to MK-801 being lipophilic ( $\log D$ $=+1.78$ ), compound 1 has only one polar ionization state and is hydrophilic $(\log D=-4.08) .{ }^{10}$ Herein, we report the relationship between $\log D$ and closed NMDA
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Figure 1. Structures of NMDA antagonists.
receptor channel access for compounds structurally related to 1, MK-801, and PCP.

## Chemistry

The compounds (12,12-diaryl-6,11-ethanobenzo[b]quinolizinium cations) chosen for this study were synthesized in a straightforward manner following the procedures described by Bradsher ${ }^{11}$ and Fields. ${ }^{12}$ The majority of the benzo[b]quinolizinium cations were made following two different methods. The prerequisite starting materials for the preparation of the cycloaddition products, 6,11-ethanobenzo[b]quinolizinium cations $3,{ }^{11 \mathrm{~b}} 4,{ }^{13} 13,14,{ }^{14} 21,23,{ }^{16 \mathrm{~b}} 24,{ }^{15} 25,{ }^{11 \mathrm{~d}}$ and 26 (Table 1), were prepared through the acid-catalyzed cyclization of the appropriate pyridinium quaternary compounds. The latter were derived from the reaction of a substituted benzyl bromide and a pyridine-2-carboxaldehyde [2-(1,3)dioxolane] derivative (Scheme 1). ${ }^{16}$

Starting materials for the 6,11-ethanobenzo[b]quinolizinium cations 6, 8, 16, 17, 27, and 29 (Table 1) were made following the method described in a previous article. ${ }^{17}$ The appropriate dianion was generated from either 2-bromobenzyl alcohol or 3-methoxybenzyl alcohol and reacted with a substituted pyridine-2-carboxaldehyde, followed by cyclization with $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ to the benzo[b]quinolizinium cation (Scheme 2). One exception to this method was the precursor for the 4 -chloro-6,11benzo[b]quinolizinium cation (19). This was prepared following the aforementioned dianion procedure using the appropriate 6 -bromopyridine-2-carboxaldehyde derivative as the electrophile. However, the diol intermediate when cyclized with $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ gave the halogen exchange product, 4-chloro-6,11-benzo[b]quinolizinium cation. The hydroxyl precursors were made by demethylation of the corresponding methyl ethers with

Table 1. $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{TCP}$ Affinity of Compounds in Rat Brain Membranes and $\log D$ Values


| compound | aryl | R | $\begin{gathered} {\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{TCP}} \\ K_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{nM}) \end{gathered}$ | $\log D^{a}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $3-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ | H | $2 \pm 0.2$ | -3.41 |
| 4 | $3-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ | $6-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $1.2 \pm 0.2$ | ND |
| 5 | $3-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ | $10,7-\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ | $345 \pm 37$ | ND |
| 6 | $3-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ | $10.0 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $6.0 \pm 0.2$ | -3.66 |
| 7 | $3-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ | $10 . \mathrm{OH}$ | $1.8 \pm 0.3$ | -0.81 |
| 8 | $3-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ | $1-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $19 \pm 3$ | ND |
| 9 | $3-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ | 1-OH | $294 \pm 26$ | 1.66 |
| 10 | $3-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ | 6-CN | $10 \pm 1$ | ND |
| 11 | $3-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ | $10.0 \mathrm{OC}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{17}$ | $3377 \pm 348$ | ND |
| 12 | $3-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ | $10-\mathrm{SO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ | $>10000$ | ND |
| 13 | ${ }^{3} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ | $6-\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ | $39 \pm 4$ | ND |
| 14 | $3-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ | [1,2]-benzo | $19 \pm 1$ | ND |
| 15 | $3-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ | $10 . \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | $159 \pm 17$ | ND |
| 16 | $3-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ | 9,10- $\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $2.7 \pm 0.5$ | -2.66 |
| 17 | $3-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ | $9-\mathrm{Cl}, 10 \cdot \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $64 \pm 5$ | ND |
| 18 | $3-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ | $9-\mathrm{Cl}, 10-\mathrm{OH}$ | $24 \pm 4$ | -0.99 |
| 19 | $3-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $633 \pm 65$ | ND |
| 20 | $3-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ | $3-\mathrm{OH}$ | $1530 \pm 47$ | ND |
| 21 | $3-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ | 9-OH | $2.1 \pm 0.2$ | 0.32 |
| 22 | $3-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ | 4-OH (4-pyridone) | $3785 \pm 222$ | ND |
| 23 | $3-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ | 9-F | $3.6 \pm 0.8$ | 0.75 |
| 24 | $3-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ | 9- $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | >10,000 | 1.76 |
| 25 | $3-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ | $8-\mathrm{OH}$ | $29 \pm 2$ | ND |
| 26 | $3-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ | $8,10 \cdot(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ | $125 \pm 26$ | ND |
| 27 | $3-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ | $8,10 \cdot\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | $3793 \pm 54$ | ND |
| 28 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | H | $8.2 \pm 1.6$ | -2.54 |
| 29 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $10-\mathrm{OH}$ | $12 \pm 2$ | 1.75 |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{ND}=$ not determined.
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Scheme 2

refluxing $48 \% \mathrm{HBr}$ (Scheme 3). The precursors for 10 and 12 were prepared following the procedures described in the literature. ${ }^{18,19}$
The final cyclic adducts (Scheme 4) were prepared via reaction of an activated olefin with the benzo[b]quinolizinium cations in refluxing nitromethane or acetonitrile. ${ }^{12,16}$ The diaryl olefins were made following methods previously described. ${ }^{20}$

## Structure-Activity Relationships

A series of 12,12-difuryl adducts were prepared with hydroxyl substitutions at various positions on the benzo and pyridinium rings (Table 1). These variations yielded a range of potency from $K_{\mathrm{i}}=1.8 \mathrm{nM}$ to $K_{\mathrm{i}}=$ 3785 nM in the binding assay. Hydroxyl substitution

## Scheme 3



Scheme 4

in the pyridinium ring, as in compounds 22 (4-OH, $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ $=3785 \mathrm{nM}), 20\left(3-\mathrm{OH}, K_{\mathrm{i}}=1503 \mathrm{nM}\right)$, and $9\left(1 . \mathrm{OH}, K_{\mathrm{i}}\right.$ $=294 \mathrm{nM}$ ), has a detrimental effect on the binding affinity. Compound 22 is a special case since it may exist as a pyridone tautomer instead of a pyridinium cation. Hydroxylation of the benzo ring as in $7(10-\mathrm{OH}$, $\left.K_{\mathrm{i}}=1.8 \mathrm{nM}\right), 21\left(9 . \mathrm{OH}, K_{\mathrm{i}}=2.1 \mathrm{nM}\right)$, and $25\left(8 . \mathrm{OH}, K_{\mathrm{i}}\right.$ $=32 \mathrm{nM}$ ) maintained the binding affinity. The $10-$ hydroxymethyl derivative 15 was 80 -fold less active than the 10 -hydroxy derivative 7 . Dihydroxylation as in 26 led to a loss of activity compared to that of the mono-hydroxyl derivatives. Compound 6, the 10 -methoxy analog of 7 , showed a 3 -fold loss of affinity. The 1,3-methylenedioxy derivative 16 maintained affinity for the NMDA receptor channel. Ionized substituents showed the most pronounced effect on binding affinity. Substitution at the 9 -position was usually beneficial; however, the $9-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ derivative (24) had a 6000 -fold lower affinity. A similar effect was observed when position 10 was substituted with $\mathrm{SO}_{3}{ }^{-}$(12). However, analogs with electron-withdrawing groups at position 6 such as compounds 10 and 13 had only a 5 -fold lower affinity than 3 (Table 1). Compound 3 had a $\log D$ value of -3.41 , while the corresponding 10 -hydroxy analog, 7, had a $\log D$ value of -0.81 yet maintained comparable affinity. Nonionizable structural modifications of the benzo or pyridinium moieties had little or no effect on $\log D$ values.

## Electrophysiology

MK-801 and related TCP-site ligands such as PCP were observed to block NMDA ion channels by agonist dependent (open channel) and agonist independent (closed channel) mechanisms. These effects have previously been observed in both receptor binding and physiological experiments. ${ }^{9 c}$ Since channel block is due to binding to the TCP site, the affinity of a ligand should be identical for either closed or open channel access. Thus, differences in closed channel block were assessed by the ratio of closed/open channel block. This study indicated a high correlation ( $r^{2}=0.89$ ) between more positive $\log D$ and closed channel access (reduced closed/ open channel $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ ratio) (Table 2, Figure 2), consistent with partitioning into the lipid membrane being a principal determinant of closed channel inhibition. There was no apparent correlation between compound affinity and closed channel access ( $r^{2}=0.15$ ).
The ability to block open and closed NMDA channels is predicted to result in a noncompetitive profile of channel block, while a selective open channel inhibitor would be predicted to generate an uncompetitive profile of antagonism. Because of this difference in antago-

Table 2. Comparison of $\log D$ to Open Channel Selectivity


| entry | R | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ | $\log D$ | NMDA channel block |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | open channel $\mathrm{IC}_{50} \mu \mathrm{M}^{a}$ | $R^{2}$ | closed channel $\mathrm{IC}_{50} \mu \mathrm{M}^{a}$ | $R^{2}$ | ratio of closed/open |
| MK-801 |  |  |  | 5.81 | 1.79 | 0.023 | 0.976 | 0.04 | 0.94 | 1.6 |
| PCP |  |  |  | 9.97 | 1.76 | 0.27 | 0.969 | 0.45 | 0.941 | 1.7 |
| 1 | H | $\mathrm{OC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 12.20 | -4.08 | 0.027 | 0.994 | 14.24 | 0.942 | 527 |
| 28 | H | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | H | 11.7 | -2.54 | 0.032 | 0.992 | 18.10 | 0.952 | 564 |
| 7 | 10.OH | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ | H | 8.60 | -0.81 | 0.00913 | 0.937 | 3.86 | 0.981 | 422 |
| 9 | $1-\mathrm{OH}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ | H | 4.88/11.99 | 1.66 | 0.557 | 0.970 | 24.83 | 0.940 | 44.6 |
| 21 | 9-OH | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ | H | 8.80 | 0.3 | 0.0073 | 0.940 | 1.03 | 0.947 | 142 |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were calculated using a nonlinear curve fitting program (Graphpad Inc., ${ }^{32}$ see the Experimental Section). Goodness of fit was assessed from the absolute distance of data points from the curve and the coefficient of determination $\left(R^{2}\right)$ computed. A perfect fit results in $R^{2}=1$ and a very poor fit in $R^{2}=0$.


Figure 2. Linear regression correlation of lipophilicity and open channel selectivity (closed NMDA channel $\mathrm{IC}_{50} /$ open channel $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ ).
nism, especially at low rates of channel stimulation, MK-801 or PCP would be predicted to have greater NMDA antagonist activity (closed and open channel block) than more selective open channel blockers.
An important observation has been that benzo[b]quinolizinium cations, which have closed/open channel inhibition ratios of $>40$, show no characteristic MK-801or PCP-like behavioral side effects. ${ }^{21}$ This lack of distinctive behavioral side effects for these benzo[b]quinolizinium compounds was observed at anti-ischemic doses in the rat and equivalent drug plasma levels in the dog. ${ }^{22}$ Differential antagonism in neural systems, due to varying levels of endogenous NMDA agonists, could explain the lack of MK-801- or PCP-like behavioral side effects observed with 1 and related compounds.

## Conclusions

With a limited number of compounds studied, we have shown that there is a good correlation between lipophilicity and closed (agonist independent) NMDA channel block. Benzo[b]quinolizinium cations described herein had lower lipophilicity than MK-801 or PCP and reduced closed NMDA channel access. Closed channel access is predicted to produce a more noncompetitive profile of antagonism compared to selective open channel blockers (uncompetitive inhibitors), resulting in greater NMDA antagonism at low levels of agonist stimulation. Reduced closed channel block may underlie the absence of PCP- or MK-801-like behavioral side effects observed for benzo[b]quinolizinium cations.

## Experimental Section

Infrared spectra were recorded on a Nicolet 20SX FTIR instrument. NMR spectra were acquired in the indicated solvent on a General Electric QE-300 FTNMR instrument. Mass spectra were recorded on a Nermag R10/10 apparatus coupled to a Varian 3400 Gas Chromatograph or on a JEOL JMS-01SC spectrometer. Elemental analyses were performed by Galbraith Laboratories, Knoxville, TN. Where analyses are indicated only by symbols of the elements, analytical results are within $\pm 0.45 \%$ of the theoretical values. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on E. Merck $5 \times 20$, Kieselgel 60 F- 254 plates. Preparative chromatography was performed using the flash method as described by Still. ${ }^{23}$ Columns were packed with Kieselgel 60, 230-400 mesh. High-boiling point solvents were stage-dried over molecular sieves. ${ }^{24}$ Anhydrous THF was distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl. Alkyllithium reagents were titrated with diphenylacetic acid. ${ }^{25}$ Other materials and reagents were purified by standard procedures where needed. Known benzo[b]quinolizinium bromides, perchlorates, or hexafluorophosphates were prepared according to the published procedures. ${ }^{11,16,17}$ Melting points were determined on a Mel-Temp apparatus and are uncorrected.

General Synthetic Methods. 7,10-Dibromobenzo[b]quinolizinium perchlorate (2a): prepared as in literature; ${ }^{12}$ yield $39 \% ; \mathrm{mp}>270^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.51$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 9.73$ (d, $J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 8.33-8.12 (m, 4H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 140.7$, 139.1, $137.5,135.4,134.9,134.8,133.2,127.2,125.8,125.4,123.6$, 120.9, 120.48. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{ClNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{N}$.

General Demethylation Procedure. A solution of methoxybenzo[b]quinolizinium perchlorate ( $4.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.013 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in $48 \%$ $\mathrm{HBr}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h and cooled to room temperature. The solids that precipitated were collected by filtration, redissolved in hot water, and treated with $20 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaClO}_{4}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The precipitated yellow solid was collected by filtration and crystallized from iPrOH .
9-Chloro-10-hydroxybenzo[b]quinolizinium perchlorate (2b): from 9 -chloro-10-methoxy derivative ${ }^{17}$ following the general demethylation procedure; yield $79 \%$; $\mathrm{mp} 203-205^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.00(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $10.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.60(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{5}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}$, N .

4-Chlorobenzo[b]quinolizinium Perchlorate (2c). ${ }^{17}$ The diol intermediate was prepared from 6-bromopyridine-2-carboxaldehyde and 2 -bromobenzyl alcohol in $26 \%$ yield after the purification on a silica gel column, eluting with ethyl acetate: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.45$ (bs, 1 H ), 4.49 (bs, 1 H ), 4.67 (bs, 1 H ), $4.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.2-7.4(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), 7.4-7.6(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. The diol was then cyclized with $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ ( 15 mL ) as described to give 2 c in $15 \%$ yield after the purification on a silica gel column from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ (9:1):

MS (LISMS) $214\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1 \mathrm{Cl}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.76-7.86$ (m, 2 H$), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $10.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. The compound was used directly in the cycloaddition reaction below without any further purification.
3-Hydroxybenzo[b]quinolizinium Hexafluorophosphate (2d). This compound was prepared by modification of the published procedure. ${ }^{26}$ The ethylene glycol ketal of iso-quinoline-3-carboxaldehyde ${ }^{27}$ was quaternized with chloroacetone which was then cyclized with refluxing $48 \% \mathrm{HBr}$. The treatment of the resuting 3 -hydroxybenzo[b]quinolizinium bromide with $\mathrm{KPF}_{6}$ gave 2d: yield $82 \%$; mp $261-263{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $3.0,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), $9.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{NOP}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$, N .
8,10-Dihydroxybenzo[b]quinolizinium bromide (2e): prepared from the corresponding 8,10 -dimethoxy derivative ${ }^{17}$ following the general demethylation procedure; yield $35 \%$; mp $>250{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DMSO}^{2}{ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 6.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.65(\mathrm{t}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{t}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.85(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{BrNO}_{2} \cdot 0.9 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{N}$.

10-(Chloromethyl)benzo[b]quinolizinium Perchlorate (2f). To a solution of 2,6 -bis[(tetrahydro-2H-2-pyranyloxy)methyllphenyl bromide (prepared from 2,6 -bis(hydroxymethyl)phenyl bromide $)^{28}(15.5 \mathrm{~g}, 39 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 200 mL of anhydrous ether at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $n-\mathrm{BuLi}(2.5 \mathrm{M}, 16.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 41 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 1.5 h . The mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and TMEDA ( $4.56 \mathrm{~g}, 37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The reaction mixture was than cooled to $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and pyridine-2-carboxaldehyde ( $6.31 \mathrm{~g}, 58 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The above mixture was warmed to room temperature over a period of 2 h , the reaction quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution, and the mixture diluted with ethyl acetate while being stirred. The organic layer was washed with brine and concentrated in vacuo to afford 11.7 g ( $73 \%$ ) of 1-(2-pyridyl)-1-[2,6-bis[(tetrahydro- $2 H$ 2 -pyranyloxy)methyl]phenyl]methanol. The compound was used directly in the reaction below.
A solution of $1.0 \mathrm{~g}(2.42 \mathrm{mmol})$ of the above compound in 14 mL of acetic acid/THF/water ( $4: 2: 1$ ) was heated at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a nitrogen atmosphere for 6 h . The mixture was concentrated in vacuo, and the residue was redissolved in ethyl acetate and concentrated in vacuo. The residual solid was triturated with ether to afford $0.26 \mathrm{~g}(49 \%)$ of 1-(2-pyridyl)-1-[2,6-bis(hydroxymethyl)phenyl]methanol: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 4.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.5,13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.3,13.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.19(\mathrm{t}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.14-6.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-$ $7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{dt}, J=1.5,7.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
A mixture of the hydroxymethyl compound ( $0.6 \mathrm{~g}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 10 mL of $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ was heated to reflux with stirring for 4 h . The mixture was cooled, poured onto ice, stirred, and treated with $20 \%$ aqueous sodium perchlorate solution. The resulting solid was filtered, washed with water, and dried to give $\mathbf{2 f}$ : yield $0.58 \mathrm{~g}\left(87 \%\right.$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 5.42$ (s, 2 H ), 7.97 $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $9.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. The compound was used directly in the preparation of 15 .

General Procedure for Cycloaddition Reaction. A mixture of the benzo[b]quinolizinium perchlorate, chloride, or hexafluorophosphate ( 5 mmol ) and 1,1-diaryl ethylene compound ( 10 mmol ) in acetonitrile or nitromethane ( 40 mL ) was heated to reflux in an inert atmosphere ( $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ or Ar) for 8 h or until the reaction was complete as shown by TLC and then stirred at room temperature for 16 h . The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was triturated with ether ( 75 mL ). The resulting solid was collected by filtration, washed successively with water, ether, and then hexanes, and dried under reduced pressure at ambient temperature to afford the crude product. The compounds were purified, and the salts were exchanged by ion exchange chromatography by following methods described previously. ${ }^{8,20}$
( $\pm$ )-12,12-Di(3-furyl)-6,11-ethano-6-methyl-6,11-dihydrobenzo[b]quinolizinium chloride (4): yield $40 \%$; mp $180-184^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.31(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.93$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.41(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl} \cdot 2.0 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
( $\pm$ )-12,12-Di(3-furyl)-7,10-dibromo-6,11-ethano-6,11-dihydrobenzo[b]quinolizinium perchlorate (5): yield $92 \%$; $\mathrm{mp} 174-179{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.85(\mathrm{dq}, J=2.9,12.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.50(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $9.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{ClNO}_{6} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
( $\pm$ )-12,12-Di(3-furyl)-6,11-ethano-10-methoxy-6,11-dihydrobenzo[b]quinolizinium chloride (6): yield $90 \%$; mp amorphous solid; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.52$ (d, $J=14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 3.17 (dd, $J=2.3,14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.40$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71-7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.23(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{ClNO}_{3} \cdot 1.25 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{N}$.
( $\pm$ )-12,12-Di(3-furyl)-6,11-ethano-10-hydroxy-6,11-dihydrobenzo[b]quinolizinium chloride (7): yield $71 \%$; mp $264-266{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.48$ (dd, $J=2.0,15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.0,15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.47 (s, 1H), 6.64 (brs, 1H), $6.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02-$ 7.13 (m, 2H), 7.42 (s, 1H), 7.43 (s, 1H), 7.46 (s, 2H), 7.89 (t, J $=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{t}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27(\mathrm{t}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $9.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{ClNO}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
( $\pm$ )-12,12-Di(3-furyl)-6,11-ethano-1-methoxy-6,11-dihydrobenzó[b]quinolizinium chloride (8): yield $47 \%$; mp amorphous powder; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 2.63$ (d, $J=14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.83$ (dd, $J=2.9,14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.42$ (brs, 1 H$), 7.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-$ $7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65$ (dd, $J=6.0,8.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{Cl} \cdot 1.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), C, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
( $\pm$ )-12,12-Di (3-furyl)-6,11-ethano-1-hydroxy-6,11-dihydrobenzo[b]quinolizinium chloride (9): yield $60 \%, \mathrm{mp}$ amorphous powder; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.55$ (d, $J=14.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.32(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 8.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{Cl} \cdot 1.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
( $\pm$ )-12,12-Di(3-furyl)-6,11-ethano-6-cyano-6,11-dihydrobenzo[b]quinolizinium chloride (10): yield $25 \%$; mp $168{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.0,15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.99(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.0,15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.43$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{t}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.70(\mathrm{t}$, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{17}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 1.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
( $\pm$ )-12,12-Di(3-furyl)-6,11-ethano-10-n-octyl-6,11-dihydrobenzo[b]quinolizinium chloride (11): yield $63 \%$; mp $143-145{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.45-1.28$ (m, 10H), $1.85-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.0,14.56$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17$ (dd, $J=3.5,14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02-3.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84-6.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.156(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.62$ (d, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.195(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9,40(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.92 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; HMRS for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ calcd 468.25418 , found 468.254 18, dev. -0.67 .
( $\pm$ )-12,12-Di(3-furyl)-6,11-ethano-10-sulfenyl-6,11-dihydrobenzo[b]quinolizinium (12): yield $20 \% ; \mathrm{mp}>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 2.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.09(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $1.8,14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.10(\mathrm{brs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.35(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.20(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{~S} \cdot 2.25 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{S}$.
( $\pm$ )-12,12-Di(3-furyl)-6-carboxy-6,11-ethano-6,11-dihydrobenzo[b]quinolizinium chloride (13): yield $28 \%$; mp $210-212{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.02$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.30$ (brs,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 6.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.95(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.44(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{ClNO}_{4}\right.$. $\left.0.75 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
( $\pm$ )-12,12-Di(3-furyl)[1,2]benzo-6,11-ethano-6,11-dihydrobenzo[b]quinolizinium chloride (14): yield $37 \%$; mp $235-237{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.61$ (d, $J=13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.17 (dd, $J=2.0,14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{t}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.19(\mathrm{t}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{20}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{ClNO}_{2} \cdot 0.75 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{N}$.
( $\pm$ )-12,12-Di (3-furyl)-6,11-ethano-10-(hydroxymethyl)-6,11-dihydrobenzo[b]quinolizinium Perchlorate (15). 10(Chloromethyl)benzo[b]quinolizinium was reacted with difurylethylene to give ( $\pm$ )-12,12-di(3-furyl)-6,11-ethano-10-(chloro-methyl)-6,11-dihydrobenzo[b]quinolizinium perchlorate in $87 \%$ yield: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.71$ (dd, $J=1.13,14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.9,14.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93$ (d, $J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.40-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.42(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 9.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. To this intermediate were added acetone ( 50 mL ), acetonitrile ( 15 mL ), and $\mathrm{NaI}(0.3 \mathrm{~g}, 1.9$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. The solution was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h , cooled, and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated to give 0.8 g of a solid ( $\pm$ )-12,12-di(3-furyl)-6,11-ethano-10-(iodomethyl)-6,11-dihydrobenzo[b]quinolizinium perchlorate. To this compound were added 150 mL of a mixture of acetone and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1)$ and $\mathrm{Na}_{2}$. $\mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.8 \mathrm{~g}, 7.5 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h and cooled and the acetone removed in vacuo. To the resulting slurry were added $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{NaClO}_{4}$ ( 10.0 $\mathrm{g}, 81 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ was then removed in vacuo, and the mixture was filtered. The solid was dissolved in 2 -propanol and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, treated with charcoal, and filtered, and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. The solid was taken up in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, cooled, and filtered to give a white solid 15: yield $80 \%$; $\mathrm{mp} 224-226{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.87$ (dd, $J=2.9,14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.22 (dd, $J=5.4,14.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.8,14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.27(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.40(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{ClNO}_{7}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
( $\pm$ )-12,12-Di(3-furyl)-6,11-ethano-9,10-methylenedioxy-6,11-dihydrobenzo[b]quinolizinium chloride (16): yield $47 \%$; mp 198-200 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.57$ (d, $J=14.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, (bd, $J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.03$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.39(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{ClNO}_{4} \cdot 0.75 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
( $\pm$ )-12,12-Di(3-furyl)-9-chloro-6,11-ethano-10-methoxy-6,11-dihydrobenzo[b]quinolizinium chloride (17): yield $71 \%$; mp foam; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.09 (dd, $J=2.0,14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.62 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.48 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.53 $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 10.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{N}$.
( $\pm$ )-12,12-Di(3-furyl)-9-chloro-6,11-ethano-10-hydroxy-6,11-dihydrobenzo[b]quinolizinium chloride (18): yield $40 \%$; mp 256-258 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.56$ (d, $J=13.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.97(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.6,14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.51(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.41(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ). Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
( $\pm$ )-12,12-Di(3-furyl)-4-chloro-6,11-ethano-6,11-dihydrobenzo[b]quinolizinium chloride (19): yield $15 \%$; mp foam; IR (KBr) 3141, 3096, 1617, 1561, 1466, 1214, $1165 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.0,15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{dd}, J$
$=3.0,15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.0$, $6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.66 (dd, $J=2.0,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.93 (dd, $J=2.0$, $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
( $\pm$ )-12,12-Di(3-furyl)-6,11-ethano-3-hydroxy-6,11-dihydrobenzo[b]quinolizinium chloride (20): yield $20 \%$; mp amorphous powder; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.51$ (d, $J=14.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 8.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{Cl} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
( $\pm$ )-12,12-Di(3-furyl)-6,11-ethano-9-hydroxy-6,11-dihydrobenzo[b]quinolizinium chloride (21): yield $55 \%$; mp $274-276{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.5,13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.51$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85$ (d, $J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.36(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{ClNO}_{3} \cdot 0.25 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{N}$.
( $\pm$ )-12,12-Di(3-furyl)-6,11-ethano-4-hydroxy-6,11-dihydrobenzo[b]quinolizinium (22). The cycloaddition product 19 (4-chloro) was hydrolyzed from methanolic 2 N sodium hydroxide on a steam bath for 1.5 h to give the crude 4 -hydroxy derivative. The product was recrystallized from 2 -propanol to give 22 as a yellow solid in $56 \%$ yield: mp $185{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.34(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.0,15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $3.0,15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.05$ (d, $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.38$ (dd, $J=1.0$, $9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.0,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; HMRS for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ calcd 356.128 67, found 356.12828 , dev. -1.08
( $\pm$ )-12,12-Di(3-furyl)-6,11-ethano-9-fluoro-6,11-dihydrobenzo[b]quinolizinium chloride (23): yield $45 \%$; mp $163-165{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.95(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.3,14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.43$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.64$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.40(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ClFNO}_{2} \cdot 0.75 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{N}$.
( $\pm$ )-12,12-Di(3-furyl)-9-carboxy-6,11-ethano-6,11-dihydrobenzo[b]quinolizinium hexafluorophosphate (24): yield $5 \%$; mp amorphous powder; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.67$ (d, $J=14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.04-9.1(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 8.44 (t, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 9.26 (d, $J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 13.50 (brs, 1 H ); HRMS for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ calcd 384.12358 , found 384.12243 , dev. -3.01.
( $\pm$ )-12,12-Di(3-furyl)-6,11-ethano-8-hydroxy-6,11-dihydrobenzo[b]quinolizinium chloride (25): yield $22 \%$; mp amorphous powder; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.52$ (d, $J=13.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.88 (dd, $J=3.1,14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.16$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.1,8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.43(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.36(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 9.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{Cl} \cdot 0.75 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{N}$.
( $\pm$ )-12,12-Di(3-furyl)-6,11-ethano-8,10-dihydroxy-6,11dihydrobenzo[b]quinolizinium chloride (26): yield $32 \%$; mp amorphous powder; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.41$ (d, $J=$ $15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.91 (dd, $J=15.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.78 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.51(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.48$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.37$ ( $\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.24$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{ClNO}_{4} \cdot 1.98 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{N}$.
( $\pm$ )-12,12-Di(3-furyl)-6,11-ethano-8,10-dimethoxy-6,11dihydrobenzo[b]quinolizinium perchlorate (27): yield $45 \%$; mp amorphous powder; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO $-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.48$ (d, $J$ $=15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56(\mathrm{~s}$, broad, 1 H$), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.39(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 9.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{ClNO}_{8} \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{N}$.
( $\pm$ )-12,12-Diphenyl-6,11-ethano-6,11-dihydrobenzo[b]quinolizinium chloride (28): yield $40 \%$; mp 169-174 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 3.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{1 H}), 3.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $3.0,15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20$ ( $\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.24-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), 7.47 (dd, $J=3.0,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.63 (dd, $J=3.0,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38(\mathrm{t}$, $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; $\mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}) 3413,3053$, 1628, 1499, $1446 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{ClN} \cdot 1.25 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) C, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
( $\pm$ )-12,12-Diphenyl-10-hydroxy-6,11-ethano-6,11-dihydrobenzo[b]quinolizinium chloride (29): yield $68 \%$; mp $>290{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{MeOH}-d_{4}\right) \delta 2.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66$ (dd, $J=4.1,14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.09 (s, 1H), $6.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.36$ (d, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.81 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 8.19(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NOCl} \cdot 0.25 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Biological Methods. [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ TCP Radioreceptor Assay. $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] T \mathrm{CP}$ binding to PCP recognition sites was performed as described by Vignon et al. ${ }^{8,29}$

Electrophysiology. Receptor Expression in Frog Oocyte. Total RNA was isolated from mouse brain by the use of Trizol reagent (Life Technologies, Gaithersburg, MD), a solution of phenol and guanidinium isothiocyanate. Briefly, mouse brains were removed from females anesthetized with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and sacrificed by decapitation. Animal procedures were approved by the Sanofi Winthrop Animal Care and Use Committee. ${ }^{30}$ Forebrain tissue was homogenized in Trizol ( $10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{g}$ of sample wet weight), followed by addition of chloroform ( $20 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) and centrifugation to separate aqueous and organic phases. RNA was precipitated from the aqueous phase by addition of 2 -propanol and subsequent centrifugation, washed with $75 \%$ ethanol, and resuspended in water at $1-10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$.

Female Xenopus laevis were anesthetized in $0.17 \%$ tricaine (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) and oocytes surgically removed. Oocytes were suspended in OR-2 medium, consisting of the following (in millimolar): $\mathrm{NaCl}, 82.5 ; \mathrm{KCl}, 2 ; \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 1$; and HEPES, 5 maintained at pH 7.5 . Follicular cells were removed by treatment with $0.2 \%$ type A Collagenase (Boehringer). Stage V-VI oocytes ${ }^{31}$ were placed in ND96 buffer consisting of the following (in millimolar): $\mathrm{NaCl}, 96 ; \mathrm{KCl}, 2 ; \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}, 1.8$; $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 2$; HEPES, 5 ; theophylline, 0.5 ; sodium pyruvate, 2.5 ; and $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ gentamycin, maintained at pH 7.5 .

Approximately 50 mL of RNA solution was injected into each oocyte using a microdispenser (Nanoject, Drummond Sci. Co., Broomall, PA) mounted on a micromanipulator. Oocytes were incubated for $48-72 \mathrm{~h}$ at $18-22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in ND-96 medium, which was changed daily.

Electrophysiological Recording. Oocytes were placed in a small bath and superfused at approximately $4 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ with a medium with the following composition (in millimolar): $\mathrm{NaCl}, 88 ; \mathrm{KCl}, 1 ; \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}, 1.24 ;$ Hepes, 10 ; and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, 2.4, at pH 7.4 . Two electrode voltage clamp recordings were made using low-resistance microelectrodes ( $1-2 \mu \Omega$ ) filled with 3 M KCl and either a Dagan 8500 instrument (Dagan Corp., Minneapolis, MN) or Geneclamp 500 instrument (Axon Instruments, Burlingame, CA). Data acquisition was performed using a computer-based program (pCLAMP; Axon Instruments) and an analog chart recorder.

Open channel block was assessed by application of a test compound with NMDA $(100 \mu \mathrm{M}) 90 \mathrm{~s}$ after the initiation of NMDA superfusion (to establish a relatively stable NMDA response). Single drug applications were made to individual cells due to tachyphylaxis of NMDA responses and slow reversal of channel block by inhibitor. Current at 300 s was normalized to the initial NMDA current, the values were averaged for control cells (NMDA alone), and inhibition produced by a compound (test) was calculated as ((control test)/control) $\times 100 \%$. To assess closed channel blockade, control responses were established by applying two pulses of NMDA ( $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) in 1 mL of medium at 15 min intervals. Cells were then incubated for 30 min with $10 \mu \mathrm{M} 7$-chlorokynurenate (KYN) to minimize channel opening and washed for $1-2 \mathrm{~min}$, and the response to $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ NMDA was again determined. In preliminary experiments, incubation with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ KYN followed by a wash period did not affect subsequent NMDA responses.

Kainate ( $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) was used as an internal control for changes in response which were independent of NMDA channel block. Inhibitors under study were included with KYN for the 30 min incubation period, and the degree of NMDA inhibition was calculated as above by comparing the NMDA response following the incubation period to the initial control responses. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were calculated using a nonlinear curve fitting program ${ }^{32}$ according to the equation $\%$ inhibition $=100 /\left(1+\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)\right.$ DRUG $)^{n}$ ) where $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ is the concentration of inhibitor resulting in $50 \%$ inhibition and $n$ is a slope value, which were fit to at least four data points (i.e. \% inhibition data for at least four concentrations of inhibitor, which were replicated 2-4 times).
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